How Natalizumab Binds and Antagonizes $\alpha_4$ Integrons
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Background: How does the multiple sclerosis therapeutic antibody natalizumab bind to $\alpha_4$ integrins?

Results: Natalizumab binds the $\alpha_4$ $\beta$-propeller domain outside the ligand binding groove for domain 1 of VCAM and non-competitively antagonizes binding.

Conclusion: Natalizumab may push domain 2 of VCAM into a non-preferred orientation upon integrin binding.

Significance: Positioning of species-specific substitutions outside of ligand-binding sites leads to surprising antibody mechanisms of action.$^1$

Abstract

Natalizumab antibody to $\alpha_4$-integrins is used in therapy of multiple sclerosis and Crohn’s disease. A crystal structure of the Fab bound to an $\alpha_4$ integrin $\beta$-propeller and thigh domain fragment shows that natalizumab recognizes human-mouse differences on the circumference of the $\beta$-propeller domain. The epitope is adjacent to but outside of a ligand-binding groove formed at the interface with the $\beta$-subunit $\beta_1$ domain and shows no difference in structure when bound to Fab. Competition between Fab and the ligand vascular cell adhesion molecule (VCAM) for binding to cell surface $\alpha_4\beta_1$ shows noncompetitive antagonism. In agreement, VCAM docking models suggest that binding of domain 1 of VCAM to $\alpha_4$-integrins is unimpeded by the Fab, and that bound Fab requires a change in orientation between domains 1 and 2 of VCAM for binding to $\alpha_4\beta_1$. Mapping of species-specific differences onto $\alpha_4\beta_1$ and $\alpha_4\beta_7$ shows that their ligand binding sites are highly conserved. Skewing away from these conserved regions of the epitopes recognized...
by current therapeutic function-blocking antibodies has resulted in previously unanticipated mechanisms of action.

Adhesion receptors of the immune system are required for all cell-cell interactions in innate and adaptive immune responses, as well as for leukocyte emigration from the bloodstream and migration within tissues (1). A new class of therapeutics termed selective adhesion molecule inhibitors target these receptors (2). A leading example is natalizumab, directed to the integrin α4 subunit that is present in both integrins α4β1 and α4β7 (1,3,4). Natalizumab is more effective than any other drug in preventing relapse in multiple sclerosis, where it has been used in over 100,000 patients (5,6). Natalizumab also is efficacious in an inflammatory bowel disease, Crohn’s disease (2,7). However, little is known about the mechanism of adhesion blockade by natalizumab.

Integrin α4β1 binds to the immunoglobulin supergene family (IgSF) molecule, vascular cell adhesion molecule (VCAM) (1). VCAM is induced on venular endothelium in inflammation, including in brain in experimental autoimmune encephalitis (EAE). Antibody to α4β1 blocks lymphocyte emigration into brain, α4β1-dependent costimulation of immune responses, and EAE (8,9).

Integrin α4β7 binds to the IgSF member mucosal addressin cell adhesion molecule (MAdCAM), which is expressed on vascular cells in mucosal tissues (1). α4β1 and not α4β7 is critical for EAE (10). Conversely, the efficacy of natalizumab in Crohn’s disease appears to reflect blockade of α4β7-dependent interactions (2). Vedolizumab, an antibody specific for α4β7, shows promise in Crohn’s disease (7).

We have previously reported the crystal structure of the integrin α4β7 headpiece bound to a small molecule antagonist, and to Fab of the mouse precursor of vedolizumab, Act-1 Fab (11). Since the complementary determining loops (CDR) of Act-1 and vedolizumab are identical, we use these names interchangeably here.

Vedolizumab bound on the β7 side of a long, wide ligand-binding groove at an extensive interface between the α4-subunit β-propeller domain and the β7-subunit βI domain.

Here, we report the crystal structure of natalizumab Fab bound to the integrin α4-subunit. Natalizumab binds on the opposite, α4 side of the ligand-binding groove. Because natalizumab did not appear to block binding of domain 1 of VCAM to the groove, we examined its mechanism of inhibition, which turns out to be noncompetitive. Apparently, natalizumab Fab imposes a change in orientation between D1 and D2 of VCAM bound to α4β1. Species-specific differences outside but near to ligand-binding pockets appear to be targeted by many mouse anti-human therapeutic antibodies. Greater complexity exists in the mechanism of action of selective adhesion molecule inhibitors than was originally envisioned.

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

**Protein preparation and purification**

An α4β7 headpiece fragment containing α4 residues 1-587 and β7 residues 1-493, and C-terminal TEV cleavage sites, ACID-BASE coiled-coil, and purification tags secreted by CHO-Lec3.2.8.1 was prepared and purified as described (11). Pharmaceutical natalizumab (1 mg/ml) was digested with papain (0.01 mg/ml) in 10 mM cysteine in PBS, purified by Mono S eluting with a gradient of 0 to 0.4 M NaCl in MES pH 6.0 and then by gel filtration with Superdex 75 in 20 mM Tris pH 7.5, 0.15 M NaCl (TBS). The α4β7 headpiece (0.3 mg/ml) was mixed with natalizumab Fab (0.3 mg/ml) (a mole ratio of 1:3) and simultaneously digested by added TEV protease (0.1 mg/ml) and
EndoH (Roche) (0.1 mg/ml) at room temperature overnight. The mixture was passed through a Ni-NTA column and the Fab-α4β7 headpiece complex was further purified by gel filtration on Superdex 200 in TBS, 1 mM Ca2+, 1 mM Mg2+.

A cDNA encoding the VCAM D1D2 fragment (mature residues 1-202) was inserted into the in-house ET8 (ExpressTag-8) vector. ET8 is similar to ET1 (12), except it utilizes ligation-independent cloning and contains a HHHHHHA tag at the C-terminus. The protein was stably expressed in HEK293S GnTI-/- cells (13) and purified by Ni-NTA Sepharose and Superdex 75 gel filtration.

Crystallization and diffraction

The α4β7/natalizumab Fab complex was concentrated to 4.5 mg/ml in 20 mM Tris pH 7.5, 0.15 M NaCl, 1 mM CaCl2, 1 mM MgCl2 for crystallization. Using 0.1 µl hanging drop vapor diffusion at 4°C we obtained crystal-like solids from 10% PEG 20,000, 0.1M Bicine pH 9.0, 2% dioxane. We first optimized the concentration of PEG 20,000 and dioxane and the pH of Bicine, and then found NDSB-195 (Non-detergent sulfobetaine, Hampton Research) by additive screening. Final needle crystals grew in 6% PEG 20,000, 0.1 M Bicine pH 9.0, 5% Dioxane and 300 mM NDSB-195. Crystals were cryoprotected by direct transfer to 9% PEG 20000, 0.1 M Bicine pH 9.0, 5% Dioxane, 300 mM NDSB-195, 30% PEG 400 and flash-cooled in liquid nitrogen. Diffraction data were collected at APS GM/CA-CAT beamline and processed with HKL2000 (14) to 3.05 Å. Late in refinement, data was reprocessed with XDS (15). Higher completeness was obtained in higher resolution shells, and useful data was found to extend to 2.84 Å using the cross-correlation method (16).

Structure determination and refinement

The structure was solved by molecular replacement using Phaser (17) first searching with the α4 β-propeller domain, then with different Fab, and then the α4 thigh domain (11). Models were iteratively improved by building with COOT (18), refinement with PHENIX (19), and validation with MOLPROBITY (20).

Radioligand binding assay

Natalizumab Fab was labeled with 125I (Perkin Elmer) using iodogen to a specific activity of 134 Ci/mmol as described (21), and concentrated to 1.5 mg/ml. Jurkat cells (1x10^7/ml) in HBS (20 mM HEPES pH 7.4, 137 mM NaCl, 5 mM KCl, 5.5 mM glucose, 10 mg/ml BSA) were treated with 4 mM Mn2+ and 0.4 mM Ca2+ (with or without VCAM D1D2) for 30 min at 37°C. Cells were aliquoted into 0.6 ml centrifugal tubes (10 µl) and put on ice. Various concentrations of 125I-natalizumab Fab in 10 µl HBS were added to the cells to give final cation concentrations of 2 mM Mn2+ and 0.2 mM Ca2+. After 2 h, bound ligand was quantified as described (21). Nonspecific binding was determined in the presence of 100 µg/ml cold natalizumab IgG for Fab concentrations of 10 nM or lower. At higher Fab concentrations, the IgG concentration was increased proportionally. Specific binding was calculated by subtracting nonspecific Fab binding from total binding.

Competition with increasing concentrations of non-labeled natalizumab Fab or IgG was performed similarly with 20 nM 125I-natalizumab Fab. Protein concentrations were determined by measuring A 280. Extinction coefficients for natalizumab Fab and IgG were calculated from amino acid sequences for the constant regions of human immunoglobulin γ4 and κ chains (Uniprot) and the variable regions of natalizumab (22). Values
were 76,250 M$^{-1}$ for Fab fragment and 224,320 M$^{-1}$ for IgG.

**Nonlinear curve fitting**

Specific natalizumab Fab saturation binding data was fitted to equation 1 with Prism version 5.04 (Graphpad Software, San Diego, CA), which uses the Levenberg-Marquardt method for performing nonlinear regression.

$$Bound = \frac{B_{\text{max}} \times [\text{Fab}]}{K_D - [\text{Fab}]}$$

A series of natalizumab Fab saturation curves that were obtained in the presence of increasing concentrations of VCAM were fitted globally with Prism to a modified Gaddum/Schild equation (23) (equation 2)

$$Bound = \frac{B_{\text{max}} \times [\text{Fab}] + [\text{VCAM}] + [\text{Fab}] \times [\text{VCAM}]^{1/2}}{K_D - [\text{Fab}] + [\text{VCAM}]^{1/2}}$$

$B_{\text{max}}$ is the number of binding sites for natalizumab Fab, $K_D$ is the dissociation constant for natalizumab Fab binding in the absence of VCAM, $[\text{Fab}]$ and $[\text{VCAM}]$ are the concentrations of natalizumab Fab and VCAM (D1D2), respectively, $pA_2$ is the negative logarithm of the antagonist concentration required for shifting the dose response curve by a factor of 2, $H$ is the Hill slope, and $S$ is the Schild slope, which is 1 in case of competitive antagonism. Data fitting revealed that $H$ did not significantly deviate from 1 (F-test, $p=0.82$) and was therefore constrained to $H=1$.

To derive $K_I$ values for nonlabeled natalizumab Fab or IgG, competition binding data was fitted as described in (21) to a one site binding model. Radioligand concentration and binding affinity were $[^{125}\text{I-Fab}]=20 \text{ nM}$ and $K_{D,\text{Fab}}=19.6 \text{ nM}$, respectively.

**Accession code**

The coordinates and the structure factors of $\alpha_4\beta_7$/Natalizumab Fab complex have been deposited to the RCSB Protein Data Bank with the accession code: 4IRZ.

**Results**

Natalizumab Fab co-crystallized with the integrin $\alpha_4$-subunit from an $\alpha_4\beta_7$ headpiece preparation (Fig. 1A and Fig. 2) with one complex in the asymmetric unit. Resolution could be extended (16) to 2.84 Å (Table 1). The $\beta_7$ headpiece fragment dissociated during crystallization; only the Fab and $\alpha_4$ headpiece fragment are present in crystals (Fig. 1A and Fig. 2B). Dissociation was unrelated to natalizumab Fab binding, since natalizumab actually stabilizes association between $\alpha_4$ and $\beta_7$ (Fig. 2A and B), as previously demonstrated with vedolizumab (11). Furthermore,
previous electron microscopy (EM) shows natalizumab binding to the $\alpha_4\beta_7$ headpiece with excellent density for the $\beta_7$ subunit, and the same orientation between the $\alpha_4$ subunit and natalizumab Fab as seen in the crystal structure (Fig. 1D) (11). The high pH of 9.0 and 5% organic solvent dioxane in crystallization may have contributed to $\alpha_4$ and $\beta_7$ dissociation. The structure of the $\alpha_4$ $\beta$-propeller and thigh domains are essentially identical to those in the vedolizumab Fab complex, except for a difference in orientation of 8 to 24° at the flexible interface between the $\beta$-propeller and thigh domains (Fig. 1A and B).

A previously undescribed (11) interaction between the thigh FG’ loop and the $\beta$-propeller domain is conserved in all five examples of $\alpha_4\beta_7$ and $\alpha_4$ in crystal lattices. When superposition is based on the $\beta$-propeller domain, residues 563-569 in the highly extended thigh FG’ loop vary little in position (Fig. 3A). In contrast, when superposition is based on the thigh domain, their position varies markedly (Fig. 3B). Stronger coupling of the thigh FG’ loop to the $\beta$-propeller domain than to the thigh domain is consistent with extensive structural interactions.

Before describing this interaction, let us briefly review integrin $\beta$-propeller structure (25). Each $\beta$-sheet, also termed a propeller blade or “W,” has four anti-parallel $\beta$-strands arranged like legs of the letter W. The blades assemble around a 7-fold pseudosymmetry axis, with $\beta$-strand 1 lining a solvent-filled central cavity and $\beta$-strand 4 forming the circumference of the propeller (Fig. 1C). The last three blades in $\alpha_4$ have Ca$^{2+}$-binding sites in their $\beta_1$-$\beta_2$ loops (26). The sequence wraps around the propeller so blades 1 and 7 are adjacent; furthermore, in blade 7, $\beta_1$-$\beta_3$ and $\beta_4$ are from C-terminal and N-terminal portions of $\beta$-propeller sequence, respectively (Fig. 1C).

The thigh FG’ loop stabilizes the region of the $\beta$-propeller where its N and C-termi are knit together in W7 (Fig. 1C and 2A). Thigh residue Phe-563 binds in a hydrophobic pocket formed by Leu-9 at the beginning of $\beta$-strand 4 and Leu-427 at the end of $\beta$-strand 3 in blade 7, and Ile-60 in the $\beta_3$-$\beta_4$ loop of blade 6 (Fig. 3A). Phe-563 also packs against the aliphatic portion of Asp-408 that coordinates Ca$^{2+}$ in the $\beta_1$-$\beta_2$ loop of blade 7. Moreover, Arg-429 in the loop following the $\beta_3$-strand of blade 7 forms a $\pi$-cation bond to Phe-563, and hydrogen bonds to both Asp-408 and the backbone following Phe-563 (Fig. 3A). Leu-566 contributes a hydrophobic environment that stabilizes these polar interactions, and also interacts with Pro-432 and the aliphatic portion of Arg-431, which hydrogen bonds to the backbone of thigh residue 567. These interactions with the thigh domain may help the $\beta$-propeller domain to fold, and are consistent with findings for a large number of different integrins, that heterodimer fragments containing $\alpha$-subunits truncated after the thigh domain, but not after the $\beta$-propeller domain, are well expressed.

The natalizumab binding site

Natalizumab binds to $\alpha_4$ $\beta$-propeller blades 2 to 4 (Fig. 1C). The ligand binding groove in $\alpha_4\beta_7$ is formed at the interface between the $\alpha_4$ $\beta$-propeller and $\beta_7$ $\beta_1$ domains (Fig. 1B) (11). $\alpha_4$ forms one wall of this groove and part of its lower surface. Natalizumab binds beside this groove (Fig. 1A). Long loops in the $\beta$-propeller that help form this groove separate natalizumab from the groove (Fig. 1A, B). Among a large number of individual $\alpha_4$ $\beta$-propeller amino acid substitutions tested, only mutation of Tyr-187, Trp-188, and Gly-190 decreased binding to VCAM (27). Tyr-187 forms part of the $\alpha_4$ wall, Trp-188 forms part of the bottom of the groove (Fig. 1A) and each contacts a small molecule $\alpha_4$ antagonist (Fig. 1B).
Notably, Tyr-187 and Trp-188 are distal from the natalizumab footprint (Fig. 1A). Gly-190 is in a highly conserved, buried position in the seven FG-GAP sequence repeats that form integrin β-propellers. The Ala sidechain in the G190A mutation clashes with the backbone of Pro-183 and is expected to alter the conformation of the loop bearing Tyr-187 and Trp-188.

The circumference of integrin β-propellers is formed by the β4 strands; the ligand binding and βI domain-binding face is formed by the β4-β1 and β2-β3 loops, and the opposite face is formed by the β1-β2 loops (some of which bind cations) and β3-β4 loops. Natalizumab Fab binds to the circumference of the β-propeller, with its footprint extending all the way to the face bearing the β3-β4 loops, but missing by 15 to 20 Å the face of the β-propeller that binds the βI domain and ligand and bears Tyr-187 and Trp-188 (Fig. 1A and Fig. 4). The epitope includes the β4-β1 loop preceding W3, the β4-strand of W3, and the β3-β4 loops of W3 and W4 (Fig. 4). The footprint extends to the tips of the β3-β4 loops of W3 and W4. Lys-201 in the W3 β4-strand and Lys-256 in the W4 β3-β4 loop, each more peripheral than the central hydrophobic region yet still well within the antibody-binding interface, make important, charged hydrogen bonds to the antibody and insert in negatively charged antibody pockets. The Gln-152 sidechain forms a hydrogen bond to the CDR H3 backbone (Fig. 4B).

Natalizumab recognizes an α4 region with significant sequence variation among human and mammals commonly used in disease models and toxicology (Figure 5). By contrast, the long narrow binding groove for small molecule antagonists and the biological ligands MadCAM and VCAM is highly conserved in α4β7 (Fig. 5A) and invariant in α4β1 (Fig. 5C). Domain 1 of VCAM can be docked in this groove with some precision (Fig. 5D) by placing the Asp sidechain of its rigid, integrin-binding loop in the same βI domain MIDAS Mg2+-coordinating position as the carboxyl group of a co-crystallized antagonist (11) (Fig. 5A). This model is well supported by the excellent fit of D1 of VCAM into the α4β1 or α4β7 ligand-binding groove with the long axis of D1 aligned with the groove (11). Furthermore, this model places VCAM...
in contact with \( \alpha_4 \) residues Tyr-187 and Trp-188 (Fig. 1A and 4), shown mutationally to be important in binding VCAM (27). The orientation between D1 and D2 of VCAM-1 is variable among crystal structures (28,29) (Fig. 6). D1 of docked VCAM does not clash with natalizumab Fab; however, where D2 of VCAM emerges from the groove, it clashes in some orientations (Fig. 6A and B). Our docking model thus predicts a noncompetitive mechanism of antagonism where natalizumab would lower affinity of \( \alpha_4 \beta_1 \) by limiting the number of VCAM conformations accessible for binding (Fig. 6B).

**Mechanism of inhibition by natalizumab**

We set up an assay of competition between VCAM and \(^{125}\)I-natalizumab Fab. Affinities were measured on Jurkat T lymphoblastoid cells in buffer containing 2 mM Mn\(^{2+}\) and 0.2 mM Ca\(^{2+}\) to activate integrin \( \alpha_4 \beta_1 \); Jurkat cells express \( \alpha_4 \beta_1 \) and lack \( \alpha_4 \beta_7 \). Binding was maximal after 2 h (Fig. 7A) and was saturable with 86,000 ± 6,000 Fab bound per cell with a \( K_D = 19.6 \pm 4.6 \) nM (Fig. 7B). Given the importance of tyrosines in the CDR loops of natalizumab, we expected that their iodination might lower affinity. Non-labeled natalizumab Fab inhibited radiolabeled Fab binding with \( K_I = 6.4 \) nM (Fig. 7C). The difference in affinity between labeled and unlabeled Fab is significant and important for appreciating the affinity of the unlabeled Fab; however, it does not affect the measurements of competition with VCAM described in the following section. Inhibition of \(^{125}\)I-natalizumab Fab by unlabeled natalizumab IgG occurs with an apparent \( K_I = 0.28 \) nM (Fig. 7C). The 20-fold higher avidity of the IgG than the affinity of the Fab demonstrates bivalent binding of natalizumab IgG to cell surface \( \alpha_4 \beta_1 \). \(^{125}\)I-natalizumab Fab binding was competed with a VCAM fragment containing domains 1 and 2 (D1D2) (Fig. 7D). The range of VCAM concentrations from 0.1 to 100 \( \mu \)M spanned its \( K_D \) for \( \alpha_4 \beta_1 \) as shown below (Fig. 7D). Furthermore, the range of \(^{125}\)I-Fab concentrations from 0.1 to 100 nM spanned the Fab’s \( K_D \) for \( \alpha_4 \beta_1 \) and extended to the concentration giving saturation binding in absence of VCAM. All data were fit globally to an allostERIC ternary complex model (24) which gave the lines shown in Fig. 7D and to a Gaddum-Schild equation which gave the lines shown in Fig. 7E. The two methods yielded nearly identical estimates of the \( K_D \) of VCAM (1.9 or 1.6 \( \mu \)M) and the \( K_D \) of \(^{125}\)I-natalizumab Fab (24.7 or 22.9 nM). The Schild slope was \( S = 0.595 \pm 0.084 \), with a 95% confidence interval of 0.429 to 0.760 (Fig. 7E). The data fit noncompetitive antagonism significantly better than competitive antagonism with \( S = 1 \) (F-test, \( p = 0.0003 \)). The fit to the allostERIC model yielded a ternary complex constant \( \alpha = 0.083 \) (Fig. 7D). The \( \alpha \) value is significantly less than 1, showing that natalizumab is an allostERIC inhibitor (24).

The allostERIC coupling constant is the factor by which the affinity constant is modified by binding of the allostERIC modulator (noncompetitive antagonist). Thus the \( K_D \) of VCAM for Mn\(^{2+}\)-activated \( \alpha_4 \beta_1 \) changes from 1.9 \( \mu \)M in absence of natalizumab to 23 \( \mu \)M in presence of natalizumab Fab. The corresponding free energy penalty for binding in presence of Fab is 1.35 kcal/mol.

**Discussion**

Our study shows how a clinically important antibody binds to the \( \beta \)-propeller domain of \( \alpha_4 \) integrins, noncompetitively blocks binding of VCAM to \( \alpha_4 \beta_1 \), and illuminates a large number of previous studies on \( \alpha_4 \) integrin structure and function. Integrin \( \alpha_4 \) antibodies were classified by effect on function and cross-competition as binding to epitopes A, B, or C (30). Antibodies to epitope B block binding to VCAM and fibronectin, whereas
antibodies to epitopes A and C partially inhibit binding only to fibronectin or are non-inhibitory, respectively. The mouse anti-human precursor to natalizumab (31), TY21/6, binds the B epitope (32).

Among the $\alpha_4$ residues that natalizumab contacts, only four differ between mouse and human (Fig. 5B and E). Previous chimera work showed that replacing human $\alpha_4$ sequence with mouse segments of 50 to 100 residues between residues 108-268, i.e. $\beta$-propeller blades 2 to 4, was sufficient to abolish or diminish reactivity of all tested B epitope antibodies (33,34). Since the smallest regions studied encompassed an entire $\beta$-propeller blade, whether antibodies mapped to the propeller circumference bearing the $\beta_4$-strand, to the $\beta_1$-proximal $\beta_4$-$\beta_1$ or $\beta_2$-$\beta_3$ loops, or to the cation-loop proximal $\beta_1$-$\beta_2$ and $\beta_3$-$\beta_4$ loops, could not be defined. Furthermore, because none of the studies demonstrated that a reciprocal swap would reconstitute the epitope, species-specific residues required for the epitope might have extended beyond the minimal mouse region required to eliminate binding, and indeed this was suggested by some swaps that did not completely eliminate reactivity. Therefore, little could be said previously about where B epitope antibodies bound, except that their epitopes included portions of $\beta$-propeller blades 2-4.

However, based on our structure, previous mapping data, and the finding that all B epitope antibodies cross-block one another, it now seems likely that all B epitope antibodies bind to a site that overlaps with natalizumab, and also do not overlap with the ligand binding site. Thus, reactivity of HP1/2, HP2/1, HP2/4, L25, and P4C2 is abolished or decreased $\geq 80\%$ by mouse residues 152-203 (34), which replace four human residues including two in the natalizumab epitope, Gln-152 and Lys-201 (Table 2). P4C2 and Z0E4 reactivity is abolished by mouse residues 108-182 (33), which alter nine human residues including Gln-152 (Table 2). HP2/1 and SG/73 reactivity is abolished by mouse residues 195-268 (33), which replace four residues including Lys-201, Lys-208, and Lys-256 in the natalizumab epitope (Table 2). In summary, there is substantial overlap between the species-specific residues recognized by natalizumab and the regions to which reactivity has been mapped for all previously characterized, function-blocking $\alpha_4$ integrin antibodies.

During development of natalizumab, it was tested on a range of species in disease model and toxicology studies. The species variation in reactivity with natalizumab (Fig. 5E) is in excellent agreement with our structural analysis in demonstrating the importance of residues Gln-152, Lys-201, and Lys-256. When any one of these differs from human, reactivity with natalizumab is lost (Fig. 5E). By contrast, Lys-208, on the edge of the epitope (Fig. 4A), is not required for reactivity (Fig. 5E).

The $K_D$ of natalizumab Fab for cell surface $\alpha_4\beta_1$ is 6.4 nM. The $K_D$ of its IgG is 0.28 nM, in agreement with previous measurements (22). The 20-fold higher affinity of the IgG strongly suggests that it binds bivalently to cell surface $\alpha_4$ integrins. Although natalizumab starts out bivalent, after administration much of it becomes functionally monovalent. Like other IgG4 antibodies, its hinge region cysteines are partially reduced, and half-molecules containing a heavy and light chain pair exchange with other IgG4 molecules. Thus a substantial fraction of natalizumab becomes monovalent (35). Therefore in patients natalizumab is both bivalent and monovalent, and the monovalent IgG4 is expected to bind with a $K_D$ of 6.4 nM as measured here with Fab.

The VCAM D1D2 fragment has a $K_D$ of 2 $\mu$M for Mn$^{2+}$-activated, cell surface integrin $\alpha_4\beta_1$. The binding site centered in D1 includes a portion of D2, but there is no evidence it extends
beyond D2 into D3 (36,37). MAdCAM, which contains only two IgSF domains, binds to integrin \( \alpha_4\beta_7 \) similarly to the docking model with VCAM, with much of D2 not in contact with the integrin; D3 if present would locate far from the integrin (11). VCAM is alternatively spliced, with IgSF domain 4 (D4) present in an isoform with 7 IgSF domains (7D) and absent in the isoform with 6 IgSF domains (6D) in the ectodomain. Domain 4 shows high sequence identity to domain 2, an identical integrin-binding motif, and binds to \( \alpha_4\beta_1 \). The 7D isoform with D1 mutationally removed binds similarly to the native 6D isoform (38-40).

The D1D2 fragment of VCAM used here ensures measurement of true, i.e. monovalent, affinity. Previous careful estimates of the affinity of the 7D fragment of VCAM for cell surface \( \alpha_4\beta_1 \) in Mn\(^{2+}\) range from 9 to 33 nM (41). The much higher affinity estimates for the 7D than D1D2 VCAM fragment strongly suggest that the 7D fragment binds bivalently to cell surface \( \alpha_4\beta_1 \). In general, adhesion molecules have low affinity for their ligands compared to receptors for soluble ligands. A full-length five IgSF ectodomain fragment of ICAM-1 binds to Mn\(^{2+}\)-activated cell surface LFA-1 (integrin \( \alpha_L\beta_2 \)) with a \( K_D \) of 9 \( \mu \)M (21), similar to the \( K_D \) of 2 \( \mu \)M measured here for binding of \( \alpha_4\beta_1 \) to VCAM D1D2.

The noncompetitive inhibition mechanism described here is a departure from previous concepts on how antibodies block function, particularly for integrins, which are known to undergo conformational change. Natalizumab clearly binds to a different site on \( \alpha_4\beta_1 \) than VCAM, making the noncompetitive mechanism conceptually easy to appreciate. A change in the conformational space accessible to D2 of VCAM that is imposed by natalizumab is the simplest explanation of the structural and ligand competition data. Crystal structures show multiple orientations between domains 1 and 2 of VCAM that differ by up to 35° (Fig. 6A). No doubt, greater variation in D1-D2 orientation is possible than has yet been sampled by crystallography. D2 of VCAM has a role in binding integrin \( \alpha_4\beta_1 \) (37), and another interpretation of our results is that natalizumab would not only affect D1-D2 orientation but also disrupt some of the interactions through D2, while leaving intact the more important interactions with D1. The net result is a 12-fold loss in affinity of \( \alpha_4\beta_1 \) for VCAM imposed by natalizumab. In contrast to these results, structural studies with several inhibitory antibodies to integrin \( \beta \)-subunits have directly demonstrated truly allosteric effects. These antibodies bind too far from the ligand binding site to sterically hinder ligand binding, and stabilize the low-affinity, closed integrin headpiece relative to the high-affinity, open integrin headpiece (42-44).

Other B epitope antibodies inhibit VCAM binding to \( \alpha_4\beta_1 \) by a similar noncompetitive mechanism (32). The measurements were less quantitative, because they used bivalent IgG and ELISA assays with wash steps that disrupt equilibrium binding. Nonetheless, plots of single-reciprocal antibody binding were hyperbolic with increasing VCAM concentration (Fig. 7E shows this type of plot), and suggested an allosteric or noncompetitive mechanism of action. These data were interpreted as an allosteric change induced by VCAM in the integrin \( \alpha_4 \)-subunit (32). Formally, neither our structural or competition data can rule out this alternative mechanism of a VCAM-induced change in the conformation of the \( \alpha_4 \)-propeller domain that lowers the affinity of natalizumab for its epitope. The epitope does not change conformation in \( \alpha_4 \) structures to date, i.e. when bound to natalizumab, when \( \alpha_4 \) is bound to the \( \beta_7 \) subunit which in turn is bound to vedolizumab, or when additionally a small molecule antagonist binds to \( \alpha_4 \) and \( \beta_7 \) in the ligand-binding
groove (11). As is the case for most integrin antagonists (45), this antagonist causes reshaping of the integrin β-subunit βI domain but not the α-subunit β-propeller domain (11). Whether VCAM induces a conformational change in α₄ must await a co-crystal structure.

It is on structural grounds that we believe it is unlikely that VCAM binding would transmit conformational change through the β-propeller domain to the natalizumab epitope. β-propellers are exceptionally large domains that are formed from unusually closely packed β-sheets (46). β-sheets are rigid structural elements, and while most domains are two or three layers thick, β-propellers are eight layers thick across their diameter. Thus β-propellers are predicted to be unusually rigid. Indeed, their function in trimeric G proteins and integrins may be to serve as rigid platforms to stabilize their allosteric signaling β/α domain partners. Integrin βI domains, with their three layer β/α structures, transmit conformational change through motions of α-helices (45,47).

Our findings demonstrate that antibodies to integrins that inhibit by a noncompetitive mechanism can nonetheless be highly effective therapeutics. Strong blockade of adhesion in vitro is also not required. Consistent with noncompetitive inhibition, it is difficult to inhibit cell adhesion to VCAM with natalizumab in Mn²⁺, particularly at high VCAM density (personal communication, Ted Yednock, Elan Pharmaceuticals).

Since ligand-binding sites are well conserved across species (Figure 4), the finding here that a function-blocking, species-specific antibody binds beside, rather than in, a ligand binding site may be more common than not. Another species-specific therapeutic antibody, vedolizumab, also binds to the edge of the ligand binding site, but on the opposite, β₇ side (11) (Fig. 1B). Vedolizumab inhibits binding of MAdCAM, but not VCAM, to integrin α₄β₇ (48). This unexpected result appears to be a consequence of binding close enough to the ligand binding site to inhibit binding of MAdCAM, but not quite close enough to inhibit binding of VCAM. Similarly, efalizumab to LFA-1 binds to the αL αI domain outside the binding site for D1 of ICAM-1, in a position where it would clash with or require bending away of D2 of ICAM-1 (49). The greater presence of species-specific differences outside of the ligand binding site has thus skewed the current generation of therapeutic antibodies toward previously unanticipated mechanisms of action, that include noncompetitive antagonism and inhibition of binding of some and not other ligands. Currently, technology is maturing to generate synthetic antibody libraries that are devoid of tolerance to self (50). It will be interesting to see whether next generation antibodies recognize a broader range of epitopes including highly conserved ligand-binding epitopes, and reveal even further surprises.
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**Figure Legends**

**Figure 1.** Overall natalizumab complex structure. A. Natalizumab Fab bound to the <i>α</i>4 headpiece. B. Vedolizumab and a small molecule antagonist (shown in stick with pink carbons) bound to the <i>α</i>4<i>β</i>7 headpiece (11), in identical orientation. Residues Y187 and W188 mutationally important in VCAM binding (27) are shown in stick. C. The natalizumab binding site with a view down the β-propeller pseudosymmetry axis. The <i>α</i>4 β-propeller domain is shown in rainbow, from N (blue) to C (red). <i>α</i>4 sidechains that contact natalizumab are shown in stick. D. EM class averages of natalizumab Fab bound to the <i>α</i>4<i>β</i>7 headpiece from Fig. 7C of (11). From left to right are representative averages with a closed headpiece, intermediate headpiece, and open headpiece. The orientation is similar to that of the crystal structure in A.

**Figure 2.** Integrin subunit association or dissociation. A. Gel filtration of <i>α</i>4<i>β</i>7 headpiece before (red curve) and after TEV protease treatment (green curve). B. Gel filtration of <i>α</i>4<i>β</i>7 headpiece treated with TEV in presence of natalizumab Fab. <i>α</i>4<i>β</i>7 headpiece was incubated with TEV at 3:1 mass ratio at room temperature for 12 hours and then separated by Superdex 200 in TBS with 1 mM Ca2+/Mg2+. The <i>α</i>4 and <i>β</i>7 subunits dissociated in absence of natalizumab (green curve in A) and remained associated in presence of natalizumab Fab (blue curve in B). The inset in B shows SDS PAGE of protein samples from the <i>α</i>4<i>β</i>7/Fab complex peak in gel filtration and from crystals.

**Figure 3.** Interaction between the thigh FG` loop and β-propeller domain. Views are after superposition of five examples of <i>α</i>4 structures (here and (11)) on the β-propeller (A) and thigh domain (B). Important sidechains are shown in stick and hydrogen bonds are dashed. The Ca ion in blade W7 is shown as a sphere.

**Figure 4.** The natalizumab binding site. A. The epitope on <i>α</i>4 from the Fab’s point of view. The <i>α</i>4 β-propeller from the natalizumab complex is colored in rainbow, and a superimposed <i>α</i>4 β-propeller domain from the vedolizumab complex is shown in grey, together with a bound small molecule antagonist in stick with gold carbons as a marker of the position of the ligand-binding site. All sidechains (and one N-acetyl glucosamine residue) within 4 Å of natalizumab are shown in stick, and backbone atoms that hydrogen bond to antibody are shown as spheres. B. A different view of the epitope that includes the Fab. Carbons of <i>α</i>4 and natalizumab H and L chains are in rainbow, grey, and light blue, respectively. All natalizumab-<i>α</i>4 hydrogen bonds are shown as dashes. All
sidechains with contacts of less than 3.9 Å across the interface are shown. Backbone atoms that participate in hydrogen bonds are shown as spheres.

**Figure 5.** Species-specific differences around the integrin α₄β₇ and α₄β₁ ligand binding sites. Conservation on the solvent accessible surface is displayed from invariant (1, green or blue) to low (0.1, red) for A, C, and D using the species shown in panel E. In B, mouse-human sequence is shown as invariant (green or blue) or different (red). Invariant α and β subunit residues are shown in green and blue, respectively, to visualize the subunit interface. Additionally, invariant VCAM-binding α₄ residues Y187 and W188 are in yellow and β subunit MIDAS and ADMIDAS metal ions are shown as green spheres. Antibody footprints are outlined in yellow dashes (N for natalizumab) and key antigenic residues are labeled. A small molecule antagonist bound to α₄β₇ is shown in stick with orange carbons (11). VCAM is shown in cartoon in the orientation found previously in docking to α₄β₇ (11). A-D are in identical orientations. The α₄β₁ model was made by superimposing the β₁βІ domain from α₅β₁ (44) onto α₄β₇ (11). The location of the ligand binding groove is marked with dashed lines. Sequence conservation was calculated by AL2CO (51) with species equally weighted, using the sum of pairs measure with the BLOSUM62 matrix with normalization of the scoring matrix. There was no further normalization, so results for α₄, β₁, and β₇ all use the same scale. E. Sequence variation in the natalizumab epitope. Sequences are of species found to be positive (+) or negative (-) for natalizumab reactivity in European Medicines Agency (EMEA) filings. Residues in the epitope are numbered. Residues most important for species reactivity are bolded. Residues that differ from human are red.

**Figure 6.** Model of VCAM binding to a natalizumab Fab - α₄β₁ complex. Transparent solvent accessible surfaces are shown of the α₄β₁-propeller domain (wheat), β₁βІ domain (light blue), and natalizumab Fab (grey). Two examples of VCAM D1D2 crystal structures (chain A from (28) and chain B from (29)) that differ the most in D1-D2 orientation are shown in cartoon, docked as described (11). The model of α₄β₁ is described in the legend to Fig. 5. Strong clashes show as regions where the cartoon is largely obscured by the transparent surface.

**Figure 7.** Binding of ¹²⁵I-labeled natalizumab Fab to T lymphoblastoid cells. A. Time course of binding. B. Saturation binding. C. Inhibition of binding by unlabeled natalizumab Fab and IgG. D and E. Binding of ¹²⁵I-Fab at different concentrations of input Fab and VCAM D1D2 fragment fit to an allosteric ternary complex model (D) or the Gaddum-Schild equation (E). Bars show s.e. for each datapoint in two or three triplicate experiments. F. Different plot of same data as in D and E. Lines in B-D show fit to equations. Fit values are shown together with s.e. unless 95% confidence intervals (CI) are otherwise shown. α₄β₁ integrins on Jurkat T lymphoblastoid cells were activated with Mn²⁺ for 30 min at 37°C, and equilibrium ¹²⁵I-Fab binding was measured after 2 h on ice as described in Methods.
### Table 1. Diffraction data and structure refinement statistics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Diffraction Data</strong></th>
<th><strong>Values</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Wavelength (Å)</strong></td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Space group</strong></td>
<td>$P2_12_12_1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cell parameters</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$a, b, c$ (Å)</td>
<td>73.6, 77.9, 217.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\alpha, \beta, \gamma$ (°)</td>
<td>90, 90, 90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Resolution range (Å)</strong></td>
<td>50.0-2.84 (3.01-2.84)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Observed reflections</strong></td>
<td>221,831</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Unique reflections</strong></td>
<td>30,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Redundancy</strong></td>
<td>7.3 (7.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$I/\sigma I$</td>
<td>6.32 (0.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Completeness (%)</strong></td>
<td>99.7 (98.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Wilson B factors (Å$^2$)</strong></td>
<td>60.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R_{merge}$ (%)$^a$</td>
<td>34 (307.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$CC^{1/2}$</td>
<td>98.6 (25.2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Refinement

| **R work**$^c$ | 0.237 |
| **R free**$^d$ | 0.287 |

#### RMSD

| **Bond (Å)** | 0.004 |
| **Angle (°)** | 0.588 |

#### Ramachandran plot (%)$^e$

92.8, 7.1, 0.1

Numbers in parentheses correspond to the last resolution shell.

$^a R_{merge} = \frac{\sum_{hkl} \sum_i |I_i(hkl)| - \langle |I(hkl)| \rangle}{\sum_{hkl} \sum_i |I_i(hkl)|}$.

$^b$Pearson’s correlation coefficient between average intensities of random half-datasets of the measurements for each unique reflection (16).

$^c R_{work} = \frac{\sum_{hkl} |F_{obs}(hkl)| - |F_{calc}(hkl)|}{\sum_{hkl} |F_{obs}(hkl)|}$.

$^d R_{free}$ is the R value obtained for a test of reflections.

$^e$Residues in favored, allowed, and outlier regions calculated with the MolProbity server (20)
Table 2. Species-specific differences in $\alpha_4\beta$-propeller blades 2-4a.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Residue</th>
<th>Human</th>
<th>Mouse</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>W2, $\beta_2-\beta_3$</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>Ile</td>
<td>Met</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W2, $\beta_2-\beta_3$</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>Asn</td>
<td>Ser</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W2, $\beta_2-\beta_3$</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>Glu</td>
<td>Asp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W2, $\beta_3$</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>Gly</td>
<td>Ile</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W2, $\beta_3$</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>Gly</td>
<td>Val</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W2, $\beta_3$</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>Val</td>
<td>Met</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W2, $\beta_3-\beta_4$</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>Pro</td>
<td>Ser</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W2, $\beta_4$</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>Ile</td>
<td>Met</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W3, $\beta_4-\beta_1$</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>Glu</td>
<td>Lys</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W3, $\beta_4-\beta_1$</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>Val</td>
<td>Thr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W3, $\beta_1-\beta_2$</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>Lys</td>
<td>Gln</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W3, $\beta_3-\beta_4$</td>
<td>201</td>
<td>Lys</td>
<td>Gln</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W4, $\beta_4-\beta_1$</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>Lys</td>
<td>Arg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W4, $\beta_1-\beta_2$</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>Gln</td>
<td>Pro</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W4, $\beta_3-\beta_4$</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>Lys</td>
<td>Asn</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a. Residues in the natalizumab epitope are in bold.
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E. Conservation of residues in different species

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>RIACYQDYV</th>
<th>ITTNKYKAFVDRQNQ</th>
<th>IDEKELN</th>
<th>RMAPCYQDYV</th>
<th>ITTNKYKAFVDRHNQ</th>
<th>IDERELS</th>
<th>RMAPCYQDHV</th>
<th>MTTNKYKAFVDRQNLQ</th>
<th>IEAKELS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Human</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>201</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>256</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pig</td>
<td>202</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ferret</td>
<td>159</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>208</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dog</td>
<td>159</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>208</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cynomolgus monkey</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>208</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guinea pig</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>208</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse</td>
<td>202</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>208</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rat</td>
<td>202</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>208</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hamster</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>208</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>208</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Callithrix jacchus</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>208</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Best-fit values:

KD,Fab = 24.8 nM (1 s.e. 22.7 to 27.0 nM)

KD,VCAM = 1.9 μM (95% CI 1.0 to 3.7 μM)

α = 0.083 (95% CI 0.048 to 0.144)
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Best-fit values:

Fab: K_i = 6.43 nM (1 s.e. 5.95-6.94 nM)
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